

## TD8: Primal-Dual Algorithms

### Exercise 1. Maximum Matching in Bipartite Graphs

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a bipartite graph.

1. Show that the size of a maximum cardinality matching in  $G$  equals the size of the minimum vertex cover.
2. Give an efficient algorithm to find a minimum vertex cover in  $G$ . (Hint: Use complementary slackness.)

### Exercise 2. The Hungarian Method for the Assignment Problem

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a bipartite graph whose edge costs are nonnegative integers. There is a bipartition  $V = (A, B)$  where  $|A| = |B| = n$  and the goal is to assign each element in  $A$  (e.g. people) to a unique element in  $B$  (e.g. tasks) so as to minimize the total cost of the assignment. In other words, we want to find a minimum cost perfect matching between  $A$  and  $B$ . The goal of this exercise is to study the following primal-dual algorithm for this problem. Let  $C$  denote the  $n \times n$  cost matrix, where rows are indexed by vertices in  $A$  and columns are indexed by vertices in  $B$ .

1. For each row in  $C$ , decrease each value by the cost of the minimum entry in the row. (Then do the same for each column.) Call the resulting cost matrix  $\bar{C}$ . Let  $G_0$  denote the subgraph of  $G$  that consists of edges in  $G$  whose cost in  $\bar{C}$  is zero, i.e.  $\bar{c}_{ij} = 0$ .
2. Find a maximum cardinality matching in  $G_0$ . If this matching has size  $n$ , terminate the algorithm.
3. Otherwise, find a minimum vertex cover in  $G_0$ . Let  $A' \subset A, B' \subset B$  denote the vertices in the vertex cover. Note that  $|A'| + |B'| < n$ .
4. Let  $\alpha = \min_{(i,j): i \notin A', j \notin B'} \bar{c}_{ij}$ . Subtract  $\alpha$  from every row in  $\bar{C}$  that is not in  $A'$  and add  $\alpha$  to each column in  $B'$ . Set  $C := \bar{C}$  and goto Step 1.

We will now analyze this algorithm.

- a) Apply this algorithm to the following 5 by 5 matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 8 \\ 5 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 7 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 6 \\ 8 & 7 & 5 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- b) Prove that Step 1 of the algorithm does not affect (i.e. change) the optimal assignment.
- c) Show that the maximum matching in Step 2 can be found efficiently.
- d) Show that the minimum vertex cover in Step 3 can be found efficiently.
- e) Prove that the algorithm terminates.
- f) Write the primal and dual linear programs for the assignment problem.
- g) Interpret the above algorithm as a primal-dual algorithm.
- h) Prove that the final solution is a minimum cost perfect matching by providing a dual certificate.

**Exercise 3. Primal-Dual and Dijkstra's Algorithm**

Prove that the primal-dual algorithm for shortest  $s$ - $t$ -path is equivalent to Dijkstra's algorithm. That is, in each step, it adds the same edge Dijkstra's algorithm would add.

**Exercise 4. Shortest  $s$ - $t$ -path Tree**

Show that the primal-dual algorithm for shortest  $s$ - $t$ -path returns a (possible partial) shortest path tree rooted at  $s$  before pruning.

**Exercise 5. Minimum Cost Arborescence**

Given a (strongly connected) directed graph  $G = (V, A)$  and a root vertex  $r \in V$ , an *arborescence* is a subset of edges  $S \subseteq A$  such for each vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $S$  contains a directed path from  $r$  to  $v$ . Suppose that each edge  $ij \in A$  has a cost  $c_{ij} \geq 0$ . The *minimum cost arborescence problem* is to find an arborescence in  $G$  of minimum cost.

1. Write down the integer program for the minimum cost arborescence problem.
2. Relax the integrality constraint in the integer program to obtain a linear programming relaxation. Write the dual for this linear program.
3. Give a primal-dual algorithm for the minimum cost arborescence problem. (Use the same framework as for the  $s$ - $t$ -shortest path. For the pruning stage, delete edges in the reverse order they were added.)
4. Prove that this algorithm is optimal.