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#### Abstract

A very short proof of Seymour's theorem, stating that in bipartite graphs the minimum cardinality of a $t$-join is equal to the maximum cardinality of an edge-disjoint packing of $t$-cuts, is given.


Let $G$ be a graph and $t: V(G) \rightarrow\{0,1\}$, where $t(V(G))$ is even. (If $X \subseteq V(G)$, then $t(X):=\sum\{t(x): x \in X\}$.) A $t$-join is a set $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $d_{F}(x) \equiv t(x)$ $(\bmod 2), \forall x \in V(G) .\left(d_{F}(x)\right.$ denotes the number of edges of $F$ incident with $x$, where loops count twice.) $t$-joins contain Chinese postman tours, matchings and minimum weight paths as a special case. (cf. [1, 7]).

If $X \subseteq V(G)$, let $\delta(X)=\{x y \in E(G): y \notin X, x \in X\}$. If $t(X) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then $\delta(X)$ is called a $t$-cut. $t$-cuts contain plane multicommodity flows as a special case [8]. For basic definitions concerning graphs we refer to [4].

Let $\tau(G, t)=\min \{\mid F: F \subseteq E(G), F$ is a $t$-join $\}$, and $v(G, t)=\max \{|C|: C$ is a family of disjoint $t$-cuts $\}$. It is easy to see that $\tau(G, t) \geqslant v(G, t)$.

Theorem (Seymour [8]). If $G$ is bipartite, then $\tau(G, t)=v(G, t)$.
If $G$ is an arbitrary graph, then replacing every edge by a path of length two, we get a bipartite graph for which Seymour's theorem can be applied. The resulting minimax theorem for $G$ was proved earlier by Lovász [3]. Both Lovász' and Seymour's proofs use rather sophisticated linear programming techniques and are quite involved. In [2] Frank, Sebö and Tardos presented a short proof for a sharper theorem, using a new technique. The extension of this technique has led to a Gallai-Edmonds type structure theorem for $t$-joins [6]. The present note is

[^0]based on the recent observation that the method used in [6] to prove this structure theorem, gives rise to very short proofs for some of its corollaries.

Let us introduce some notations and terminology:

$$
\text { For } a \neq b \in V(G), \quad t^{a, b}(x) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
t(x) & \text { if } x \in V(G) \backslash\{a, b\} \\
t(x)+1 & \text { if } x \in\{a, b\}
\end{array} \quad(\bmod 2) .\right.
$$

The contraction of an edge $e=x y \in E(G)$ in ( $G, t$ ) means deleting $e$ and identifying $x$ and $y$ and defining $t\left(v_{x y}\right) \equiv t(x)+t(y)(\bmod 2)$ where $v_{x y}$ is the new vertex that arises; $\Gamma(x)$ is the set of neighbours of $x$; an $(a, b)$-path $(a, b \in V(G))$ means a simple path in $G$ between $a$ and $b$. If $P$ is a path $P(x, y)(x, y \in V(P))$ denotes its subpath between $x$ and $y$.

The following simple observations will be used without reference in the sequel: A $t$-join $F$ is minimum if and only if for every circuit $C,|F \cap C| \leqslant|F \backslash C|$, [5].

If $F_{1}$ is a minimum $t_{1}$-join and $F_{2}$ is a minimum $t_{2}$-join, then for each circuit $C$ in $F_{1} \triangle F_{2},\left|C \cap F_{1}\right|=\left|C \cap F_{2}\right|$.

If $F$ is a minimum $t$-join then for every $a \neq b \in V(G)$ there exists a minimum $t^{a, b}$-join $F^{\prime}$ and an ( $a, b$ )-path $P$ such that $F=F^{\prime} \Delta P$. (This follows by observing that for any minimum $t^{a, b}$-join $F^{\prime \prime}, F \Delta F^{\prime \prime}$ is the union of an $(a, b)$-path $P$ and circuits $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}$ which are pairwise edge-disjoint. Since both $F$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$ are minimum, the circuits have the same number of edges in the two joins. Thus, $F^{\prime}=F^{\prime \prime} \Delta\left(C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{k}\right)$ is also a minimum $t^{a, b}$-join and $F=F^{\prime} \Delta P$ holds.)

Proof of Seymour's theorem. Let the function $t$ differ from the 0 -function and $a \neq b \in V(G)$ be such that $\tau\left(G, t^{a, b}\right)$ is minimum. (If $t \equiv 0$ the theorem is trivial.)

Claim. If $F$ is a minimum $t$-join, then $d_{F}(a)=d_{F}(b)=1$.

Let the minimum $t^{a, b}$-join $F^{\prime}$ and the $(a, b)$-path $P$ be such that $F=F^{\prime} \Delta P$. Then $d_{F^{\prime}}(a)=d_{F^{\prime}}(b)=0$, since if $b b^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ say, then $F^{\prime} \backslash b b^{\prime}$ is a $t^{a, b^{\prime}}$-join, a contradiction with the choice of $a$ and $b$. Since $d_{P}(a)=d_{p}(b)=1$ the claim is proved.

Contract every edge of $\delta(b)$ to get $\left(G^{*}, t^{*}\right)$. It is enough to prove that $F^{*}:=F \backslash \delta(b)$ is a minimum $t^{*}$-join of $G^{*}$ since then the claim implies $\tau\left(G^{*}, t^{*}\right)=F \backslash \delta(b)|=|F|-1=\tau(G, t)-1$ and Seymour's theorem follows by induction. ( $\delta(b)$ is a $t$-cut disjoint from $E\left(G^{*}\right)$.)

Suppose indirectly, that $K \subset E\left(G^{*}\right)$ is a circuit in $G^{*}$ with: $\left|K \cap F^{*}\right|>\left|K \backslash F^{*}\right|$. Then $\left|K \cap F^{*}\right| \geqslant\left|K \backslash F^{*}\right|+2$ follows, because $G^{*}$ is bipartite. $K$ corresponds in $G$ to an $x_{1}, x_{2}$-path $\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \in \Gamma(b)\right)$ and since $F$ is a minimum $t$-join, $\left|\left(K \cup\left\{b x_{1}, b x_{2}\right\}\right) \cap F\right| \leqslant\left|\left(K \cup\left\{b x_{1}, b x_{2}\right\}\right) \backslash F\right|$. As a consequence we. have equality in the last two inequalities, and $b x_{1}, b x_{2} \notin F$. The latter equality implies that $T=F \Delta\left(K \cup\left\{b x_{1}, b x_{2}\right\}\right)$ is also a minimum $t$-join. However, $d_{T}(b)=3$ contradicting the claim.

Note that the sharper theorem of Frank-Sebö-Tardos [2] can be proved in the same way.
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