4. Conservative weightings Undirected shortest paths
T-joins

## Paths in Graphs

```
Directed, nonnegative weights (Dijkstra)
-1 weights NP-hard (HAM)
Conservative (no circuit of neg total weight): \(\in P\)
Undirected shortest paths with nonnegative weights?
With -1 weights ?
With a conservative weighting ?
```

Exercise : Does the triangle inequality hold in the undirected case ? Are subpaths of shortest paths shortest ?

Can we solve undirected shortest path problems in the same way as directed ones? Or reduce one to the other?

## Conservativeness

Def: $(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{w})$ where G is a graph, $\mathrm{w}: \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ is conservative, if for every circuit C of G: $\quad w(C) \geq 0$.

$$
\lambda(x, y):=\lambda_{w}(x, y):=\quad \min \{w(P): P \text { path }\}=?
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \lambda(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})=\lambda(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})=-1 ; \lambda(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})=-2 ; \\
& \qquad \lambda(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})+\lambda(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})<\lambda(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}) \\
& \text { A shortest (a,c)-path is not } \\
& \text { shortest between } a \text { and } b .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exercise 3.4

Recursively with 'Matrix Multiplication' ?
Bellman-Ford ? Floyd-Warshall ?

## T-joins



Euler's theorem : G=(V,E), E : streets One can go through all the streets Exactly once $\Leftrightarrow$ G conn., $\forall$ degree even
$T$ = vertices of odd degree of $F$.
Easy facts about T-joins: G connected, $|\mathrm{T}|$ even $\Rightarrow \exists \mathrm{T}$-join ; Exercise 3.1 min weight «Eulerian replication» = duplication of a min weight $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{G}}$-join
$\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}), \mathrm{w}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{IR}, \mathrm{F}$ is a minimum weight T -join $\Leftrightarrow$
$(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{w}[\mathrm{F}])$ is conservative, where $\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{F}](\mathrm{e}):=\left\{\begin{array}{c}-1 \text { if } e \in F \\ 1 \text { if } e \notin F\end{array}\right.$
Is it true: $\lambda(x, y):=\lambda_{w}(x, y):=\min \{w(P): P\{x, y\}$-join $\}$ ?

## A Quick Proof of Seymour's theorem

Theorem: G bipartite, w: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow\{-1,1\}, \quad(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{w})$ conservative $\Leftrightarrow$
E_ can be covered by disj cuts meeting it in exactly one edge each.

## Proof : $\quad x_{0} \in V(G)$

S. : `Quick Take $b \neq x_{0}$ such that Proof $^{\prime}, \& \ldots \lambda_{w}\left(x_{0}, b\right)=\min _{v \in V(G)} \lambda_{w}\left(x_{0}, v\right)$

Claim 1: $\left|\delta(b) \cap E_{-}\right|=1$
Exercise 4.3
Claim 2 : Swapping on a circuit $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{C})=0$ : $w[C]$ is conservative


Claim 3 : Contracting $\delta(\mathrm{b})$ deleting loops, cons. is kept Exercise 4.4

## T-cuts

Def : $\delta(\mathrm{W}) \subseteq \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})(\mathrm{W} \subseteq \mathrm{V})$ is a $T$-cut, if $|\mathrm{W} \cap T|$ is odd

Proposition: F T-join, $\delta(\mathrm{W}) \mathrm{T}$-cut $\Rightarrow|\mathrm{F} \cap \delta(\mathrm{W})| \geq 1$


Exercise 5.1
Theorem (Seymour '81) If G is bipartite, $\tau(G, T)=v(G, T)$

## Nonbipartite minmax

$V_{2}(G, T):=\max \{|\mathcal{C}|: \mathcal{C}$ 2-packing of $T$-cuts \}, where a 2 -packing is a family covering every element $\leq$ twice

Easy: $\tau(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}) \geq \mathrm{v}_{2}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}) / 2$
Proof: Let F be a T -join, and $\mathcal{C}$ a 2-packing of T-cuts.
Then $2 \tau(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T})=2|\mathrm{~F}| \geq \sum_{\text {Cin }} \mathbb{C}|\mathrm{F} \cap \mathrm{C}| \geq|\mathbb{C}|=v_{2}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T})$
On two minmax theorems in graph
Theorem (Lovász '76) If G is arbitrary: $\tau(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T})=\nu_{2}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}) / 2$

Theorem (Edmonds-Johnson '73) G=(V,E)

$$
\tau(G, T)=v^{*}(G, T)
$$

## Polynomial algorithm for the postman

Input: $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}), \mathrm{w}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{IR}$
Task : minimize the weight of a T-join

Proposition (Edmonds) : If the weights are nonnegative easy reduction: min weight matching of the complete graph on $T$ where the weights are the w-shortest paths in $G$ between the vertices of $T$.

Can we find a negative circuit and shortest paths in undirected graphs?

Can we reduce the augmenting paths for matchings to this ?

## 6. Linear Programming (LP)

## LP for bipartite matchings

MATCHING POLYTOPE for $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ bipartite

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \in I^{E}: \\
x(\delta(v)) \leq 1, \forall v \in V \\
x \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Dual for the all 1 objective function:
VERTEX COVER for $G=(V, E)$ bipartite

$$
x \in \mathbb{R}^{\vee}:
$$

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 1, \forall \mathrm{ij} \in \mathrm{E}
$$

$$
x \geq 0
$$

Proof : TDI, TU+Cramer, or comb. no odd circuit)

### 6.1 Fractional chromatic index

m : set of all matchings
fractional chromatic index := $\chi^{*}=$ Min $\sum_{M \text { in }} m \mathrm{y}_{M}, \mathrm{y}_{M} \geq 0$
$\sum_{M \text { in }} M_{\text {contains e }} \mathrm{y}_{M} \geq 1$ (or $\geq \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{e})$ where w is non-neg edge-weights)

$$
\chi^{*}=\chi^{\prime}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{w})=\operatorname{Min} \lambda: \mathrm{w} / \lambda \in \text { matching polytope }
$$

$\chi^{\prime}:$ in addition $\lambda$ integer and $w=$ integer comb of $m$

What is $\chi^{*}$ for bipartite matchings ?

## Minmax and computation of $\chi^{*}$

Fractional Chromatic Index for bipartite graphs ?
At least $\Delta$ for all graphs so = for bip; $1 / \Delta$ on all edges $\in$ polytope

For general graphs? Min $\lambda: w / \lambda \in$ matching polytope
Edmonds (1965) $\quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: \quad x(\delta(v)) \leq 1, \quad x \geq 0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(E(U)) \leq \frac{|\mathrm{U}|-1}{2} \quad \mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{~V},|\mathrm{U}| \text { odd } \\
\lambda \geq \Delta, \quad \lambda \geq \frac{2 \mathrm{~W}(E(U))}{|\mathrm{U}|-1}, \quad "
\end{gather*}
$$

Polynomial algorithm! Compare with average degree $\frac{2 w(E(U))!}{|U|}$ ! How does it compare if all weights are 1 (simple graphs) ?

## Nonbipartite matching polytope

The Perfect Matching Polytope: Kőnig (1916), Jacobi (1890) Egerváry (1931), Birkhoff (1946), von Neuman (1952): easier to prove

If $\mathbf{G}$ is bipartite :
$\operatorname{conv}\left(\chi_{M}: M\right.$ p.m. $)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x(\delta(v))=1, x \geq 0\right\}$
If $\mathbf{G}$ is arbitrary :
Edmonds (1965), add : if $\mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{V},|\mathrm{U}|$ is odd $\mathrm{x}(\delta(\mathrm{U})) \geq 1$

The linear inequalities of the Matching Polytope of $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ :
Edmonds (1965) $\quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: \quad x(\delta(v)) \leq 1, \quad x \geq 0$

$$
x(E(U)) \leq \frac{|U|-1}{2} \quad U \subseteq V,|U| \text { odd }
$$

## Conjectures about additive gap 0 or 1

P(G) matching polytope, $k$ integer, $w \in k M(G)$ integer.
Conjecture (Lovász ) : G without Petersen minor $\chi^{\prime}=\chi^{*}$ i.e.

$$
w=M_{1}+\ldots+M_{k}
$$

Conjectures (Schrijver) : t-perfect graphs ...
Conjecture (Goldberg, Seymour ) : MID = ID +1 $x \in \lambda$ matching $(G) \Rightarrow x$ is $\lceil\lambda\rceil+1$-colorable; tight: Petersen

Conjecture (Aharoni): matroid indep set are MID
Conjecture (Scheithauer and Terno): cutting stock (bin packing patterns) are MID.

### 6.2 How are LP, polyhedra useful for insight?

Lower bound because relaxation

Can be part of the solution algorithm

Example of another use ... :
A generalization of Petersen's theorem

## Petersen's theorem (1891)

A graph is cubic if all of its degrees are 3 .

Theorem: G is a cubic graph G has no bridge $\Rightarrow \mathrm{G}$ has a p.m.


## Weighted generalization

Exercise : Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be cubic, w: $\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{IR}$ on the edges. Then a. If G is bipartite, or
b. If $G$ is arbitrary bridgeless

There exists a p.m. of weight $\geq 1 / 3 w(E)$


$$
\begin{gathered}
10+9+11+2 \times 15 \\
=60 \geq 1 / 3 w(E) \\
(w(E)=179)
\end{gathered}
$$

Bridgeless, but cannot be partitioned to 3 p.m.

## Through the polyhedral lens

If $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ cubic, bipartite
The constant $1 / 3$ function on the edges is in the convex hull of matchings.

If $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ cubic, bridgeless
The constant $1 / 3$ function on the edges is in the convex hull of matchings.

If G is cubic, bridgeless (or bipartite),
$\exists$ matching valued random variable $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}$
so that $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{M})=$ constant $1 / 3$ on E .

Theorem: $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ cubic, bridgeless (or bipartite), $\mathrm{w}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{IR} . \exists$ matching $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{M}) \geq w(E) / 3$

### 6.3 The T-join polyhedron

 Method: the inverse of the duality theoremTheorem Edmonds,Johnson (1973) : $\mathrm{Q}_{+}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}):=\operatorname{conv}$ (T-joins) $+I \mathrm{R}_{+}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}=$

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}{ }^{E} x(\delta(W)) \geq 1, \delta(W) \text { is a } T \text {-cut, i.e. }|W \cap T| \text { is odd }\right\}
$$

Proof :
$\subseteq:$ Clear!

For $=$ show $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}^{S} \quad \min c^{\top} x$ for $x$ on the left $=$ $\min c^{\top} x$ for $x$ on the right

This suffices, since if not $=$, then $\subset$ and the hyperplane $c^{\top} x=b$ separating some $x$ on the right from all on the left ( $=>c \geq 0$ maybe changing the sign), shows that the min of $c^{\top} x$ is smaller on the right.

But min of $c^{\top} x$ on the right is equal, by the duality theorem to the max of its dual so the latter is smaller then the min of $c^{\top} x$ on the left, contradicting Edmonds and Johnson's minimax theorem (Corollary of Seymour's theorem):

## Proving the T-join polyhedron Thm

Metatheorem : weighted minmax theorem $\Leftrightarrow$ polyhedron
( $\rho$-approximation for all weights $\Leftrightarrow \rho$ - polyhedron containment )
Q.E.D.
$\Leftrightarrow$
Edmonds-Johnson: $\quad \tau(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{c})=v^{*}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{c}):=$ fractional opt
$\Leftarrow$
Lovász (76): If G arbitrary, $\tau(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T})=\mathrm{v}_{2}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}) / 2$
$\Leftarrow$
Seymour (81): If G is bipartite, $\tau(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T})=v(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T})$

## End of Part A: MATCHINGS

## To come : TSP + a bit of submodularity, matroids

Exercises for the Courses 3-4 : series 6

